

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,931	05/04/2001	Robert J. Feilbogen	10251-037	7100	
Danalaran Daga	7590 02/27/2007		EXAMINER		
Proskauer Rose LLP Patent Department		NGUYEN	NGUYEN, NGA B		
1585 Broadway	у		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
New York, NY	10030 .		3692		
		·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
. 3 MC	NTHS	02/27/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/848,931	FEILBOGEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nga B. Nguyen	3692			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	entember 2006.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters, prosecution as to the merits i	s		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·	·			
Disposition of Claims	,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are withdrawr		·			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 44-57 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		by the Examiner.	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>_</u> .			

Application/Control Number: 09/848,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is the answer to the communication filed on September 8,
 which paper has been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 44-57 are elected for consideration.

Response to Arguments/Amendment

3. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims 44-57) in the reply filed on September 8, 2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 44-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson, U.S. Patent No. 5,864,827, in view of Symonds et al (hereinafter Symonds), U.S. Patent No. 6,039,245.

Regarding to claim 44, Wilson discloses a system for electronically exchanging data related to financial transactions between a plurality of price providers which provides price quotes for trading financial instruments and executes trades and a plurality of customers of the price provider through a plurality of electronic portals, wherein at least two of the plurality of electronic portals are adapted to use one of

Art Unit: 3692

multiple different standardized portal-specific data formats, and wherein a particular customer may use any of the plurality of electronic portals to which the customer has access to communicate with the price provider, the system comprising:

a gateway being linked to a corresponding one of the plurality of electronic portals, wherein the gateway translates data received from any of the plurality of electronic portals from a respective first standardized portal-specific format to a second standardized format, and for translating data received in the second standardized format from the price provider to the respective first standardized portal-specific format of the particular portal of the plurality of electronic portals to which the data is routed (figure 1; column 4, lines 30-50; column 7, lines 1-30, the gateway 1 translates the first transaction from FIX protocol into CMS protocol; the gateway 1 also translates the acknowledgment from the exchange's protocol (e.g. CMS protocol) into FIX protocol); and

a central transit point that links the gateways to the price provider and through which the normalized data is transmitted to the price provider (figure 1, column 6, lines 55-65, network connection uses the TCP/IP protocol).

Wilson does not disclose a plurality of gateways. However, Symonds disclose the use of plurality of gateways (figure 1 and column 6, lines 30-42, message gateway router or MGR 24, 25, operate to take incoming messages and to convert them into a common internal message format and also convert internal messages to external message formats needed to communicate with the various external authorization systems 18 and terminal devices 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with

Art Unit: 3692

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wilson's to adopt the teaching of Symonds above, for the purpose of providing more efficiency in messages communication between customers and the price providers, also preventing the data overload because using a plurality of gateways in converting messages, instead of only one gateway.

Regarding to claim 45, Wilson further discloses wherein the data that is exchanged between the price provider and the customers comprises at least one of a customer request for a price quote for a trade, a price quote provided by the price provider, a message relating to negotiation of a price for a trade between the customer and the price provider, an instruction to complete the trade, a trade detail data, or free-format text-based conversation (column 6, lines 30-50).

Regarding to claims 46-48, Wilson does not disclose a display at the price provider for displaying substantially simultaneously the data exchanged with at least two of the electronic portals; wherein the display comprises a graphical user interface for displaying data from the at least two electronic portals substantially simultaneously in separate windows on the display, at least two of the windows having a substantially identical user interface; wherein each of the plurality of electronic portals utilizes a respective portal-specific graphical user interface, wherein the system displays the data from the at least two electronic portals substantially simultaneously in separate windows on the display using the respective graphical user portal-specific interfaces, and wherein the system further comprises a set of uniform commands for interacting with the at least two electronic portals despite the different graphical user interfaces. However, such

Art Unit: 3692

features are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wilson's to adopt the teaching of Symonds above, for the purpose of for the purpose of providing more efficiency and easier to track the data exchanged of different electronic portals.

Regarding to claim 49, Wilson further discloses an application program interface for presenting data in a normalized format to an internal computer system of the price provider (figure 1, NYSE or NASDAQ exchange).

Regarding to claim 50, Wilson further discloses wherein the internal computer system comprises an automated pricing engine that automatically generates a price quote in response to a request (figure 1, NYSE or NASDAQ exchange).

Regarding to claim 51, Wilson does not disclose an application program interface for presenting data in a normalized format to an internal computer system of a credit intermediary that extends credit to a customer. However, Symonds discloses an application program interface for presenting data in a normalized format to an internal computer system of a credit intermediary that extends credit to a customer (column 6, lines 10-20, external authorization systems 18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wilson's to adopt the teaching of Symonds above, for the purpose of providing more efficiency in messages communication between customers and the credit intermediary.

Regarding to claims 52-53, Symonds discloses a system for electronically exchanging data related to financial transactions between a plurality of price providers which provide price quotes for trading financial instruments and execute trades and a

Art Unit: 3692

plurality of customers of the plurality of price providers through a plurality of electronic portals, wherein at least two of the plurality of electronic portals are adapted to use multiple different standardized portal-specific data formats, and wherein a particular customer may use any of the plurality of electronic portals to which the customer has access to communicate with the plurality of price providers, the system comprising:

a first gateway located at a first price provider, the first gateway adapted to communicate with each of the plurality of electronic portals using the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal of the plurality of electronic portals, wherein the first gateway translates data received in the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal to a standardized format of the first price provider and translates data received in the standardized format of the first price provider to the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal to which the data from the first price provider is routed (figure 1; column 4, lines 30-50; column 7, lines 1-30, the gateway 1 translates the first transaction from FIX protocol into CMS protocol; the gateway 1 also translates the acknowledgment from the exchange's protocol (e.g. CMS protocol) into FIX protocol).

Wilson does not disclose a second gateway located at a second price provider, the second gateway adapted to communicate with each of the plurality of electronic portals using the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal of the plurality of electronic portals, wherein the second gateway translates data received in the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal to a standardized format of the second price provider and translates data received in the

Art Unit: 3692

standardized format of the second price provider to the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal to which the data from the second price provider is routed and a third gateway located at a credit intermediary, the third gateway adapted to communicate with each of the plurality of electronic portals using the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal of the plurality of electronic portals, wherein the third gateway translates data received in the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal to a standardized format of the credit intermediary and translates data received in the standardized format of the credit intermediary to the standardized portal-specific format of each respective electronic portal to which the data from the credit intermediary is routed. However, Symonds disclose the use of plurality of gateways (figure 1 and column 6, lines 30-42, message gateway router or MGR 24, 25, operate to take incoming messages and to convert them into a common internal message format and also convert internal messages to external message formats needed to communicate with the various external authorization systems 18 and terminal devices 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wilson's to adopt the teaching of Symonds above, for the purpose of providing more efficiency in messages communication between customers and the price providers, also preventing the data overload because using a plurality of gateways in converting messages, instead of only one gateway.

Regarding to claims 54-57, Symonds further discloses wherein the third gateway is adapted to transmit data received from the first price provider, the second price

provider, or both to the credit Intermediary, the third gateway translates data received in the standardized format of the first price provider or data received in the standardized format of the second price provider to the standardized format of the credit intermediary, the third gateway is adapted to transmit data received from the credit intermediary to the first price provider, the second price provider or both, the third gateway translates data received in the standardized format of the credit intermediary into the respective standardized format of the price provider to which the data is routed (figure 1 and column 6, lines 30-42, message gateway router or MGR 24, 25, operate to take incoming messages and to convert them into a common internal message format and also convert internal messages to external message formats needed to communicate with the various external authorization systems 18 and terminal devices 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wilson's to adopt the teaching of Symonds above, for the purpose of providing more efficiency in messages communication between customers and the credit intermediary.

Page 8

Conclusion

- 6. Claims 44-57 are rejected.
- 7. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Young et al. (US 6,850,991) disclose systems and methods for distributing information to a diverse plurality of devices.

Art Unit: 3692

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Richard E. Chilcot, can be reached on (571) 272-6777.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

C/o Technology Center 3600

Washington, DC 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communication intended for entry),

or

(571) 273-0325 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Knox building, 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, First Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 3692

NGA NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 20, 2006

Page 10